





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 3867
09/835,078	04/13/2001	James T. Cole	GRI-01-008	
7	590 10/03/2002			
MARK E. FE		EXAMINER		
	DLOGY INSTITUTE MOUNT PROSPECT ROA	BECKER, DREW E		
DES PLAINE	S, IL 60018		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2002	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			pplication No.	Applicant(s)	- Ay				
Office Action Summary				<i></i>					
			9/835,078 	COLE ET AL.					
			xaminer	Art Unit					
The MAIL ING DATE of this communication ann			rew E Becker	vith the correspond ince an	ldr ss				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspond nce addr ss Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1) Resp	onsive to communication(s) f	led on <u>04 April</u>	1 2002 .						
2a)☐ This a	action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This a	ction is non-final.						
	this application is in conditio				e merits is				
Closed Disposition of C	d in accordance with the prac Claims	tice under <i>Ex ¡</i>	oarte Quayle, 1935 C	:.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	s) are subject to restri	ction and/or ele	ection requirement.						
Application Pap		- C							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
· —		•							
	ant may not request that any ob				ar.				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)	oughtent is made of a claim	or domestic pr	ionly under 55 5.5.0	. 33 120 dila/01 121.					
Notice of Refer Notice of Draft	rences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (F sciosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F			Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(Informal Patent Application (PTO					



Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it longer than 150 words.
 Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The "second" information disclosure statement filed July 30, 2002 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because it is a copy of another patent application. It has been noted and placed in the application file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1 and 3-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rhoads et al [Pat. No. 3,861,378].

Rhoads et al teach a baking oven (column 5, line 49) comprising a baking chamber (Figure 1, 15), first and second wall structures with openings (Figure 1, 39 & 41), a rotating valve assembly which can switch the direction of the airflow (Figure 3, #65, 67, 69, 71, 73; column 3, line 19 to column 4, line 8), a heat source (Figure 1, 63), and a food rack with support members (Figure 2, 47). Rhoads et al also teach a method of

Application/Control Number: 09/835,078

Art Unit: 1761

baking by rotating the valve motor continuously at one r.p.m. which causes the valve flaps to oscillate in a back and forth fashion (column 3, lines 44-49), passing heated air from the heat source through the rotating valve and spaced apart openings and into the cooking chamber, then rotating the valve assembly to pass heated air from the heat source to the valve assembly and through the second wall and into the baking chamber, then returning the air (Figure 2; column 3, line 19 to column 4, line 8).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rhoads et al as applied to claim 1 above, in view of Konig [Pat. No. 4,779,604].

 Rhoads et al teach the above mentioned components. Rhoads et al do not teach a burner. Konig teaches a baking device comprising a burner (Figure 1, 17). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the burner of Konig into the invention of Rhoads et al since both are directed to baking devices, since Rhoads et al already included a heat source (Figure 2, 63), and since burners were commonly used as heat sources in baking devices, as shown by Konig.



Application/Control Number: 09/835,078

Art Unit: 1761

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Thirode [Pat. No. 4,308,853], Guibert [Pat. No. 4,307,286], Barnickle [Pat. No. 4,160,440], Guibert [Pat. No. 4,455,478], Van Elten et al [Pat. No. 4,108,056], and Ovadia [Pat. No. 6,320,165] teach cooking devices with rotating valves.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Drew E Becker whose telephone number is 703-305-0300. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 703-308-3959. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1495.

Drew Becker

September 30, 2002